| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 10/815,881 | ALGER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Tae H. Yoon | 1796 |
| Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Tae H. Yoon</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Ms. DeSimone. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 16 June 2008 | Time: <u>10:30 AM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 21-24 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner suggested delection of redundant phrase in claims 22-24 and of the second period () in claim 21. Applicant agreed | | |
| with the suggestion. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| /Tae H Yoon/ Tae H Yoon Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |